

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/936,885 | MISRA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | David H Kruse | 1638 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowance

(1) David H Kruse.

(3) _____.

(2) Sheree L. Rybak.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 August 2004

Time: PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

8, 17, 18, 21-32 and 42

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendments to the claims for clarity purposes and for consistency were discussed and approved by Applicant's representative, as well as wording of the Title of the invention and amendment to the Abstract to remove reference to non-elected invention.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)